## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA OCALA DIVISION

## MARQUES DWAYNE JOHNSON,

Plaintiff,

D - C - - - 1 - - - 4

v. Case No: 5:19-cv-513-Oc-PRL

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant.		

## **ORDER**

This matter is before the undersigned on the Commissioner's Unopposed Motion for Entry of Judgment with Reversal and Remand in which Defendant requests the Court to remand this case so that the Commissioner can take further administrative action. (Doc. 17).

Pursuant to Title 42, United States Code, Section 405(g) the Court is empowered to reverse the decision of the Commissioner with or without remanding the cause for a rehearing. *Shalala v. Schaefer*, 113 S. Ct. 2625 (1993). The failure of the ALJ to develop the record constitutes sufficient grounds for remand. *Brissette v. Heckler*, 730 F.2d 548 (8th Cir. 1984), *appeal after remand* 613 F. Supp. 722 (E.D. Mo. 1985), *judgment aff'd in part, rev'd in part,* 784 F.2d 864 (8th Cir. 1986). On remand under sentence four, the ALJ should review the case on a complete record, including any new material evidence. *Diorio v. Heckler*, 721 F.2d 726, 729 (11th Cir. 1983) (finding that it was necessary for the ALJ on remand to consider psychiatric report tendered to Appeals Council); *Reeves v. Heckler*, 734 F.2d 519, 522 n. 1 (11th Cir. 1984) (holding that the ALJ should consider on remand the need for an orthopedic evaluation).

Upon review of the record and filings, I agree with the parties that it is appropriate to remand this matter to the Commissioner. Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

1. The Commissioner's Motion (Doc. 17) is **GRANTED** and this action is

 $\boldsymbol{REVERSED}$  and  $\boldsymbol{REMANDED}$  pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. §  $405(g)^1$  to the

Commissioner for the following reason:

On remand an Administrative Law Judge will obtain supplemental evidence from a vocational expert to clarify the effect of the assessed limitations on the claimant's occupational base (Social Security Ruling 83-14). The hypothetical questions should reflect the specific capacity/limitations established by the record as a whole. The Administrative Law Judge will ask the vocational expert to identify examples of appropriate jobs and to state the incidence of such jobs in the national economy (20 CFR 416.966). Further, before relying on the vocational expert evidence the Administrative Law Judge will identify and resolve any conflicts between the occupational evidence provided by the vocational expert and information in the Dictionary of Occupational Titles (DOT) and its companion publication, the Selected Characteristics of Occupations (Social Security Ruling 00-4p1).

2. The Clerk is directed to enter judgment accordingly and close the file.

**DONE** and **ORDERED** in Ocala, Florida on February 4, 2020.

PHILIP R. LAMMENS United States Magistrate Judge

Copies furnished to:

Counsel of Record Unrepresented Parties

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Remand pursuant to sentence four of § 405(g) makes the Plaintiff a prevailing party for purposes of the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. '2412, and terminates this Court's jurisdiction over this matter. *Shalala v. Schaefer*, 509 U.S. 292, 113 S. Ct. 2625, 125 L. Ed. 2d 239 (1993).